

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/955,404	09/17/2001	Jiang Peng	42390P11100 1200		
8791	7590 01/12/2005		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY	SOKOLOFF TAYLOF	SHAPIRO, LEONID			
12400 WILS SEVENTH I	HIRE BOULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	LES, CA 90025-1030		2673		
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/955,40	4	PENG, JIANG			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Leonid Sh	apiro	2673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by treply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no everon. a reply within the statueriod will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 August 2004</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)							
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bushee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been ments have been priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)			•			
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	i	4) Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	5,00)	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1, 4, 6, 11-13, 16, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagaoka (US Patent No. 6,791,773 B2) in view of Lehtinen et al. (US Patent No. 6,518,957 B1).

As to claim 1, Nagaoka teaches a portable communication device (See Col. 1, Lines 8-12) comprising:

a display (See Fig. 1, item 6, Col. 5, Lines 60-67); and a detachable joystick (in the reference is equivalent to detachable operating stick (See Fig. 1, item 8); wherein the portable communication device is adapted to receive the detachable joystick (See Fig. 1, item 8, Col. 8, Lines 29-34), and the detachable joystick provides a user input indicated with a display (See Fig. 4, item 8, Col. 7, Lines 1-15).

Nagaoka does not show the detachable joystick is capable of being stored within the portable communication device when not in use.

Lehtinen et al. teaches stylus is capable of being stored within the portable communication device when not in use (See Fig. 2, item 16, Col. 4, Lines 2-22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Lehtinen et al. teaching into Nagaoka system in order to disable

Art Unit: 2673

the touch sensitive screen when data input apparatus in the device (See Col. 1, Lines 55-57 in the Lehtinen et al. reference).

As to claim 12, Nagaoka teaches a method comprising:

providing user input to a portable communication device using detachable joystick (See Fig. 4, item 8, Col. 7, Lines 1-15).

Nagaoka does not show the detachable joystick is capable of being stored within the portable communication device when not in use.

Lehtinen et al. teaches stylus is capable of being stored within the portable communication device when not in use (See Fig. 2, item 16, Col. 4, Lines 2-22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Lehtinen et al. teaching into Nagaoka system in order to disable the touch sensitive screen when data input apparatus in the device (See Col. 1, Lines 55-57 in the Lehtinen et al. reference).

As to claims 4, 13, Nagaoka teaches the detachable joystick 9operatinal stick in the reference) is adapted to indicate desired movement of a cursor on the display (in the reference operational stick is used instead of a set of push buttons) (See Fig. 4, items 2, 7-8 Page 2, Col. 7, Lines 1-15).

As to claim 6, Lehtinen et al. teaches motion sensors (in the reference is equivalent to touch screen) sense movement of the detachable joystick (See Fig 2, items 6, 16, Col. 2, Lines 27-31).

Art Unit: 2673

As to claims 16,19, Lehtinen et al. teaches inserting and removing the detachable joystick into the portable communication device (See Figs. 2, 4, item 16, Col. 4, lines 2-22).

As to claim 11 Nagaoka teaches the portable communication device is a cellular phone (See Fig. 1, Col. 1, Lines 5-12).

2. Claims 2, 7-8, 17-18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagaoka and Lehtinen et al. as aforementioned in claims 1, 14, 16 and 19 in view Hoggarth (Pub. No.: US 2002/0057257 A1).

As to claim 2, Nagaoka and Lehtinen et al. do not show the detachable joystick comprises a user depressible button.

Hoggarth teaches the detachable joystick comprises a user depressible button (See Fig. 4, item 80, in description See Page 3, paragraph 0033).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Hoggarth approach in Nagaoka and Lehtinen et al. apparatus in order to devise a seamless mechanically and electrically integrated joystick (See Page1, paragraph 0013 in Hoggarth reference).

As to claims 7-8, 17, 20, Nagaoka and Lehtinen et al. do not show an active operational mode of the portable communication device is initiated upon placement of the detachable joystick into portable communication device and an inactive operational mode of the portable communication device is initiated upon removal of the detachable joystick from portable communication device.

Art Unit: 2673

Hoggarth teaches the detachable joystick trigger closes the electrical circuit causing a signal to be relayed to the COM1 port (See Fig. 4, items 90,120,122, in description See Page 3, paragraph 0032).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Hoggarth approach in Nagaoka and Lehtinen et al. apparatus to start an active operational mode of the portable communication device is initiated upon placement of the detachable joystick into portable communication device and an inactive operational mode of the portable communication device is initiated upon removal of the detachable joystick from portable communication device in order to devise a seamless mechanically and electrically integrated joystick (See Page1, paragraph 0013 in Hoggarth reference).

As to claim 18, Nagaoka and Lehtinen et al. do not show initiating a cellular communication with the detachable joystick.

Hoggarth teaches the detachable joystick trigger closes the electrical circuit causing a signal to be relayed to the COM1 port (See Fig. 4, items 90,120,122, in description See Page 3, paragraph 0032).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Hoggarth approach in Nagaoka and Lehtinen et al. apparatus to initiating a cellular communication with the with the detachable joystick in order to devise a seamless mechanically and electrically integrated joystick (See Page1, paragraph 0013 in Hoggarth reference).

Art Unit: 2673

3. Claims 21, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caravella et al. (US Patent No. 6,041,221) in view of Nagaoka and Lehtinen et al.

Caravella et al. teaches an apparatus comprising: a processor (See Fig. 1, item 18, in description See Col. 1, Lines 9-19 and Col. 2, Lines 10-17); a static random access memory coupled to the processor (See Fig. 1, item 24, in description See Col. 1, Lines 9-19 and Col. 2, Lines 10-17); communication module to transmit a wireless communications (See Fig. 1, items 12,14,16, in description See Col. 1, Lines 9-19 and Col. 2, Lines 10-17).

Caravella et al. do not show a display and detachable joystick to provide a user input indicated with the display.

Nagaoka teaches display (See Fig. 1, item 6, Col. 5, Lines 60-67); and a detachable joystick to provide a user input indicated with a display (See Fig. 4, item 8, Col. 7, Lines 1-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Nagaoka display and detachable joystick in Caravella et al. apparatus in order to make an image system so compact that it can be mounted on a portable telephone (See Col. 1, Lines 39-43 in Nagaoka reference).

Caravella et al. and Nagaoka do not show the detachable joystick is capable of being stored within the portable communication device when not in use.

Lehtinen et al. teaches stylus is capable of being stored within the portable communication device when not in use (See Fig. 2, item 16, Col. 4, Lines 2-22).

Art Unit: 2673

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Lehtinen et al. teaching into Caravella et al. and Nagaoka system in order to disable the touch sensitive screen when data input apparatus in the device (See Col. 1, Lines 55-57 in the Lehtinen et al. reference).

As to claim 23 Lehtinen et al. teaches apparatus is adapted to store the detachable joystick (stylus) when not in use (See Fig. 2, item 16, Col. 4, Lines 2-22).

4. Claims 22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caravella et al. and Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka as aforementioned in claim 21 in view Hoggarth.

As to claim 22 Caravella et al. and Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka do not show the detachable joystick comprises a user depressible button.

Hoggarth teaches the detachable joystick comprises a user depressible button (See Fig. 4, item 80, in description See Page 3, paragraph 0033).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Hoggarth approach in Caravella et al. and Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka apparatus in order to devise a seamless mechanically and electrically integrated joystick (See Page1, paragraph 0013 in Hoggarth reference).

As to claim 24, Caravella et al. and Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka do not show an active operational mode of the portable communication device is initiated upon placement of the detachable joystick into portable communication device and an

Art Unit: 2673

inactive operational mode of the portable communication device is initiated upon removal of the detachable joystick from portable communication device.

Hoggarth teaches the detachable joystick trigger closes the electrical circuit causing a signal to be relayed to the COM1 port (See Fig. 4, items 90,120,122, in description See Page 3, paragraph 0032).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Hoggarth approach in Caravella et al. and Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka apparatus to start an active operational mode of the portable communication device is initiated upon placement of the detachable joystick into portable communication device and an inactive operational mode of the portable communication device is initiated upon removal of the detachable joystick from portable communication device in order to devise a seamless mechanically and electrically integrated joystick (See Page1, paragraph 0013 in Hoggarth reference).

5. Claims 5,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka as aforementioned in claims 1, 13 in view Chan et al. (US Patent No. 6,346,938).

Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka do not show the detachable joystick is adapted to select an icon on the display.

Chan et al. teaches pushing forward on the joystick moves user icon location (See Fig. 8, items 805-806, in description See Col. 11, Lines 4-7).

Art Unit: 2673

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Chan et al. approach in Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka apparatus in order to navigate through application software (See Page 1, paragraph 0002 in the Oueslati et al. reference).

6. Claim 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka and Chan et al. as aforementioned in claim 14 in view of Hoggarth.

Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka and Chan et al. do not show the detachable joystick comprises a user depressible button.

Hoggarth teaches the detachable joystick comprises a user depressible button (See Fig. 4, item 80, in description See Page 3, paragraph 0033).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Hoggarth approach in Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka and Chan et al. apparatus in order to devise a seamless mechanically and electrically integrated joystick (See Page1, paragraph 0013 in Hoggarth reference).

7. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka as aforementioned in claims 1.

As to claim 9, Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka do not show detachable joystick comprises ink.

Since critically of usage of ink was not shown in specification or drawings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Art Unit: 2673

assume that pen tip in Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka apparatus will contain ink. Therefore, the presence or absence of ink in the pen tip fails to patentably distinguish over the Nagaoka reference.

As to claim 10, Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka do not show the detachable joystick is adapted to indicate movement across the display on pixel-by-pixel basis.

Since critically of pixel-by-pixel not shown in specification or drawings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to assume that movement in Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka apparatus will be done on pixel-by-pixel basis or on group of pixels-by-group of pixels. Therefore, pixel-by-pixel movement fails to patentably distinguish over the Nagaoka reference.

8. Claim 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caravella et al., Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka as aforementioned in claims 21.

Caravella et al., Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka do not show detachable joystick comprises ink.

Since critically of usage of ink was not shown in specification or drawings, it would have been obvious that Caravella et al., Lehtinen et al., Nagaoka apparatus will contain ink. Therefore, the presence or absence of ink in the pen tip fails to patentably distinguish over the Nagaoka reference.

Art Unit: 2673

Response to Amendment

9. Applicant's arguments 08-03-04 with respect to claims 1-2, 4-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Telephone inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 703-305-5661. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Affil Market Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

12.27.04 Ls